

Ten years ago, voters were asked to pass tougher sentences for repeat violent criminals. We approved the Three Strikes law because that's what we were told it would do.

We weren't told that Three Strikes would also lock up nonviolent, petty offenders for life.

VOTING YES ON PROPOSITION 66 WILL RESTORE THREE STRIKES TO ITS PROMISE AND THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF VOTERS.

Voting *YES ON PROPOSITION 66* will:

- Ensure murderers, rapists, kidnappers, child molesters and other truly violent and serious criminals are kept in prison.
- Apply commonsense sentences to nonviolent, petty offenders.
- Save taxpayers hundreds of millions of dollars every year that are wasted on keeping videotape, bread or T-shirt thieves and bad check writers in prison for life.
- Protect our children by stopping child molesters with a "1 Strike" sentence.

Proponents of the 1994 law claimed that, "Three Strikes keeps career criminals, who rape women, molest innocent children and commit murder, behind bars where they belong."

But, according to the California Department of Corrections, almost 65% of those serving second and third strike sentences were convicted of nonviolent, petty offenses such as writing a bad check, stealing a videotape, loaf of bread or pack of T-shirts.

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES

CALIFORNIANS INTENDED THAT THE THREE STRIKES LAW TARGET MURDERERS, RAPISTS AND KIDNAPPERS, NOT VIDEOTAPE AND T-SHIRT THIEVES. PROPOSITION 66 WILL RESTORE THREE STRIKES TO WHAT VOTERS INTENDED.

After ten years, three strikes has stuck California taxpayers with a \$6 billion bill to punish videotape and T-shirt thieves, and other nonviolent petty offenders.

Voting yes on proposition 66 will save taxpayers billions of dollars over the next decade by doing what makes sense -- ensuring that only truly dangerous or violent repeat criminals, such as murderers and kidnappers, spend the rest of their lives in prison.

Don't be fooled by what opponents say. No one serving time for rape, murder,

kidnapping or child molestation will be released by passage of proposition 66.

PROPOSITION 66 IS NOT ABOUT GETTING SOFT ON CRIME. IT'S ABOUT GETTING SMART ON CRIME.

Read what others are saying:

- *Orange County Register:* "The measure...will end the unreasonable practice under current law of sending those convicted of petty offenses to life in prison at great cost to taxpayers."
- *The Sacramento Bee:* "California needs to modify its three-strikes law, the harshest in the nation."
- *San Jose Mercury News:* "The law is wasting tens of millions of tax dollars...and wasting lives."
- *Fresno Bee:* "Californians have a legitimate interest in protecting themselves by putting away for life...violent habitual criminals. But the "Three Strikes" law

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES

should not be netting nonviolent, three-time shoplifters for 25-years-to-life sentences.”

- *San Francisco Chronicle*: “...studies by criminal-justice experts show the law to be unduly costly...and failing in its primary mission to curb crime.”

VOTING YES ON PROPOSITION 66 WILL RESTORE THREE STRIKES TO THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF THE VOTERS, SAVE TAXPAYERS BILLIONS OF DOLLARS, AND PROVIDE EVEN STRONGER PROTECTION FOR OUR CHILDREN FROM PREDATORY CHILD MOLESTERS.

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION 66.

www.yesonproposition66.com

Red Hodges
President
Violence Research Foundation

Rev. Rick Schlosser
Executive Director
California Church Impact

Ronald Hampton
Executive Director
National Black Police Association

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES